THE EFFECT OF A HELIUM CONTAMINATED ATMOSPHERE ON A METROPHYSICS PRESSURE TRANSDUCER

INTERNAL REPORT #20

SPACE RADIATION LABORATORY

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

bу

CRAIG C. TODD

JUNE 4, 1970

THE PROBLEM

A Metrophysics pressure transducer (P/N 10311) was flown during the 1968 and 1969 summer flights of the Caltech e⁺⁻ magnet spectrometer. The instruments were carried aloft from Ft. Churchill by 10.6 million cubic ft. helium filled balloons. Altitudes of about 140,000 ft. were reached which correspond to an atmospheric pressure of about 2 mm Hg. In addition to the Metrophysics unit, a photobaraograph was flown. This instrument consists of a camera which periodically photographs a Wallace and Tiernan absolute pressure gauge. The accuracy of the results from this instrument is believed to be about $\pm 2\%$; it is certainly good to $\pm 5\%$. Serious discrepancies between the pressures reported by the Metrophysics unit and by the photobarograph were observed in every flight. The pressures reported by the photobarograph agreed quite well with those which were theoretically expected, while the Metrophysics unit reported pressures which were from 13-52% greater.

THE THEORY

It was suggested that perhaps helium from the balloon was causing the Metrophysics unit to read incorrectly. The device does not measure pressure directly. It actually measures the heat conduction of the gas which is proportional to its pressure. The coefficient of heat conduction for helium is different than that for air so a sizable concentration of helium could conceivably cause the observed error. It was decided to experimentally test the effect of different helium-air mixtures on the response of the Metrophysics transducer.

THE TEST

Our low pressure calibration system was used to make the measurements. Pressures in this system can be read to better than \pm .01 mm with an MKS Baratron pressure meter. The transducer was placed in a bell jar into which the desired amounts of helium and air were introduced. Helium concentrations of 0, 10, 25, 50, and 100% were used at pressures of 1.00, 1.50, 3.00, and 6.00 mm.

The procedure in making a run was to pump out the system completely and then to introduce the proper amounts of helium and air to give the desired mixture at 10.00 mm pressure. The system was then pumped back down, stopping at the desired pressures to make the measurements.

The results are shown in Table 1 and are plotted in Figure 1.

Media Press.	Air	10% He	25% He	50% He	100% He
1.00 mm	1.17V	1.22V	1.32V	1.42V	1.55V
1.50 mm	1.80V	1.90V	2.05V	2.25V	2.53V
3.00 mm	2.90V	3.10V	3.41V	3.83V	4.51V
6.00 mm	3.83V	4.12V	4.67V	5.43V	6.80V
1 1		I	I	i e en e) 9. juni 10. juni 10

Voltage out of Metrophysics as a function of pressure, helium concentration.

TABLE I

It is readily obvious that response of the transducer changes significantly with different helium concentrations. Using these results it is possible to compute the approximate helium concentration which would have made the Metrophysics perform as it did during the balloon flights. Fig. 2 is a plot of the photobarograph and Metrophysics data from flight #69C3M (7-6-69). The vertical scale is marked off in gm/cm². One mm Hg corresponds to 1.36 grams per square cm. The helium concentrations which would bring the two curves into agreement are indicated for various times during the flight. For example, at 0725 UT if the air around the Metrophysics transducer had been about 20% helium, this would explain the discrepancy. Concentrations ranging from 15-60% are required at various parts of the float.

THE CALCULATION

It was decided to make some simple calculations to see if concentrations of helium of this magnitude could indeed be present under the balloon. The one dimensional diffusion equation is 1

$$\frac{\partial^2 n(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t}$$

where n(x,t) is concentration of helium at the position x at the time t. D is the mutual diffusion coefficient and is given by the formula ²

$$D = \frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{\pi kT}{2m^*} \right) \frac{1}{n \pi d_{12}^2}$$

where

$$m^* = \frac{mN_2 \cdot mH_e}{mN_2 + mH_e}$$

$$d_{12} = \frac{(\text{diameter of N}_2) + (\text{diameter of He})}{2}$$

n = absolute density of molecules/ cm^3

At a pressure of 2 mm and a temperature of $-23^{\circ}C$

$$D = 775 \, \text{ft.}^2/\text{hr.}$$

For the sake of simplicity, the diffusion equation was solved subject to the boundary conditions

$$n(x,0) = 1$$
 for $|x| < |b|$
 $n(x,0) = 0$ for $|x| > |b|$
 $n(\infty,t) = 0$

The solution of the equation with these boundary conditions is 3

$$n(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi Dt}} \int_{-b}^{b} e \frac{-(x-\xi)^2}{4Dt} d\xi$$

This equation may be reduced to

(

$$n(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf}\left[\frac{b-x}{\sqrt{4Dt}}\right] - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf}\left[\frac{-b-x}{\sqrt{4Dt}}\right]$$

The solutions for various values of b are plotted in Figs. 3-8.

The applicability of these solutions to the problem is hard to judge. One may think of the balloon as being virtually open at the bottom with helium diffusing downward. Thus b would be taken as the height of the balloon (200-300 ft.). This solution would give too high a concentration of helium as the values at the bottom of the balloon are of finite size and the helium would diffuse away in other directions.

Another way to apply these solutions would be to think of the balloon ejecting a large amount of helium at some point, creating a sphere of nearly pure helium. Then b would be taken to be the radius of this sphere. This solution will also give too large a concentration of helium as the helium will really diffuse away in all directions and not just one.

One interesting point to note is that the parameter b makes very little difference to the point $b+x_0$ in the solutions. For bs of 30-300 ft the concentration at the point b+50 ft is about 10% after 1 hr. For bs from 60-300 ft the concentration at b+50 ft only varies from 25-28% for t = 5 hrs. So if we assume that the Metrophysics is sitting 50 ft below the balloon valves with helium diffusing out of the valves it doesn't make much difference what we choose for b.

On the other hand the value of b is very important if we assume a sphere of pure helium is puffed out and the Metrophysics is in the center. If the balloon were to rise and eject 1/8 of its helium in a sphere the sphere would have a radius half that of the balloon or about 60 ft. Fig. 3 says we could have about a 50% helium concentration after several hours.

There is probably a combination of effects of helium slowly diffusing out the bottom of the balloon andhelium being ejected when the balloon rises. About all the calculation is good for is to show that it is quite possible that appreciable quantities of helium (10-50%) could be present around the transducer.

THE CONCLUSION

The test of the Metrophysics pressure transducer shows that it is quite sensitive to helium contamination. Applying these results to the altitude curve for 69C3M we find that concentrations of about 10-50% are necessary to account for the discrepancy. Simple calculations show that concentrations of helium of this magnitude could be expected. Thus one is led to conclude that the Metrophysics transducer is not suitable for use on helium balloon flights.

REFERENCES

(

- 1. Present, R. D., <u>Kinetic Theory of Gases</u>, McGraw Hill, New York, 1958, p. 65.
- 2. Ibid, pg. 55.
- Dettman, John W., <u>Applied Complex Variables</u>, Macmillan Co., New York, 1965, p. 383.

)	<i>(</i>		
	2	3	6 <u>-</u> .	, 10 9 8 /
1				
	F13.2			
			55	
			106	
•				
			METRO PHYSICS CALIBRATION +	5/N 140 (4/1 1031)
NI			3/32/70	
	1.5 2.5 2	5.0 · 3.5 4	5 6.0	
		M M HS		

_		1		21	-	11		DI	6	0	1	0		LD.	9	0	s	0	ę,	0	E	o	r	0	1	0	0	0	1	•			_
					1.71			<u>- 11</u>				4/14 11/14	1:11	1111		<u>; </u> -	4.1				111				111								141
-	i Hi	1711 1212			411	1111	<u></u>	<u></u>	111			1111		1111	<u>Utri</u> Utri		111	<u>1411</u> [14]											1111	<u>1111</u>		111.	
	1	重	pt:	111	1:11	111	111	$\frac{1}{11}$				hill	114		<u>111</u>	1111	HIT:	<u></u>		1111	111	TTT	1411			1411			Eul	HI	<u> </u>	1111	
	HE:	rli	111-	1711	114:	14.2		1.1	311	117	itii		444	1 hit	Till 1	TEFT			TIT	114	14-FI				iHi			113-1	111	TTT	111	EL'S	- <u></u>
	禰	111	曲曲	114				1043 1043	<u></u>	111 111	1111	<u>1994</u> 1994	111	1211						- <u> </u> - 									tani Lini	<u>tihi</u>	tiri.	1-1-1-1	1121
F 11:			inti inti						<u> 111.</u>		141	111															11:11	44	甘井	<u> </u>		坩	14
		211 141	114: FH4		1171	12 cf 1 - 7 - 2	(191) (191)	13371		141 H 15	-127				<u>評判</u> [計]	<u>1141</u> 741				544 641		144	1971			tirl Thi			<u>3711</u> 4711		<u>HE</u> 44.7	1111	
	itr:				7444 7444	.itti.	511	1721	111	HT HT	<u>145</u>	and Tang	inter Inter		:UTT :::TT	त्वत्तः सन्द		7141 1744	111	<u>1111</u>	1111		TTTT	(r.r.)			Fri		1111			1112 1117:	1711
+	11++-		THE REAL	1741 1		1.611		Litititi	5.4T	141 1111		<u>1444</u> Ind		115.] 117.]			ttri.	1 <u>11</u> 1111	1111	<u>1111</u> 1111	<u>:: :: </u> -+		144		1111	11111	<u> </u> 	1117	na t		7744 		1111
1.11	11	+++	111	ι <u>Ξ</u> ι	44				:;;;;;]		1111	<u>101</u>		111		ji ji ji	<u> </u>		frii									44		H		<u>H</u>	11
	HI.	H	冊			開		闣	領境			韻											H.								詽		雷
	11	11	HH									14																					
1		甘	拱	111	Шť							譛																					
1		Ħ		ittr	惝			世世	Hit	<u>i</u> tti							<u>tilti</u>													th		ittt	
	11							17617 12414					- GT									1991) (1991)	21353 .7413	1201	国際			1737) 2/34				17-1 17-1	1.277
11.1.	112	E	111 111	計	(FIL)	1923			44	4igž		邗身	围	1.3.		174	1317	<u>1997.</u>	日耳	聊	巾	1 11	EF	11H	FF1	111	193	뷥관	÷27	분문		<u>F</u> I:	577
	21	臣		<u>i (i)</u>		H		1717	т. <u>а</u> .:	itre		111		EE			<u>411</u>	HE	1354 1	<u>11</u>	即	匪	団	曲	112	包持.		莊	<u> </u>	0E		45	TE.
		킢	117		ill)		:::#	ttd						樹	封订		靜	曲				HH	EI.			圈		<u>Ut</u>		14	油	47	古.
		北	里		田田			詽		詽		15	副	<u>1</u>											田	E.	臣	辪		臣	山田	南	
		Ŧ			1			11111	11.5	43			F. [1.1	-147 -147	10	珊		ŧ.	封計		针打	rttf	1.5.5	1.71	nH:	同時	IS EF	i i i	5	1411	77-1	3 5
111			Ħ			크		<u>†</u>]]:		<u>15</u>			計		Hi:					H	<u>th</u>	1111	闘	<u>III</u>	田			臣	312	H	王王	Ш÷	1:4
1		<u>.</u>	拦			1111 1111	+1-7	扫		카	扭进		町	744. 	詽	١ Ш	田	HT.	臣	群						H				Her	44	:出	
1	[]]		HH I					田子	HH.		扫			+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++												团				144 144	詽	詽	
1		11	丗		Ħ						111		Ħ		曲				+++		H	111	曲	H				1)+++ 1111		11:	++++	甘甘	詽
		11							-441 1111			<u> 귀</u> 라																		指計 111.		++++	
11				\mathbb{H}	Ħ										Ħ													H		H			
11		TH:		$\frac{1}{1}$				<u>† </u>									<u> </u>									Viiit					HH		
	1			1997 1972):		1999.22 1994.22	idelig Tat≣⊋	vë.d T. e		tin: Lite			2		a (117 - 72-2		1144 73.12	1741) 	548 1473	arkini: Li tel	hfi hfi		13 FT					hifj Hifj	- 411			.4111. 4115-	
		::		List f	EH.	EE.		1117	111	55-1 57-1			111		THI!		+E7.			- tau 注印	fell	[1]ī			H			<u></u>					112
				扫描	劻	E¥	1933	$ H_{r} $	HE.	155	臣	355	(#3)	117	韶.		÷Υ	57	15	X 4	100	49	2-	=	11	HH.	晤	.1.177. 	<u></u>	EE:		ΗE	
1.	库		臣		臣臣	惊	34	12.5	£173	1947		<u></u>			詽门	111	1.00					11 0		1	团	田		₩Ħ	핅	詽	HH.	HT.	
		, F	曲	HI:	鼎	25		11.1 	1.1	1	井		50	罰				iti;	扣		中	6	ON.	1	VHi		叫	讲	= H			<u>rit</u> :	
	1.1			0	11		82	-	1.1	+		-					ER		111			Lij!	1	$\left \frac{1}{1}\right $				ET:			出		
	1+			171	11	112	X	15	12				: 17J	Tit	17-1		117	记号	illi		情	Hil	115	1			Hr:	H.		TH:		H.H	1115
	19.03	2	1		問	11	11	- inite	1×st	111		gil						: 11!	111	م مسل	N.		44	141	da	161	04	90	Lou	d	TH		
	HH.	i			fil		'li	1	i													11											阳
		T											1111																				i ti
		T									$\frac{2}{10}$			1111 1111							HH												++++ +++
																				1.1													
1	[[]]	11	Щ							<u>IIII</u>	lilli	<u>lill</u>			liii			ii		[]]]												lift	
-				1710																													

		8	F	IG	, ,	2									6	9	С	31	M							10/2	12/61	1
																											-1	
												L.V.	-K-	MF	tr	apr	y 5	ias		9 11	11/4	0						
																				1111							-2	
	<u></u>	11 11 11 11 11				$\frac{1}{1$													1 <u>1</u> 1; []].;		: : : : : : : : : : : : : :	<u> </u> 	11 · · 		334 	, 	-8	j
		100				10-1	e													111			 41. 141	41. 7:1			-,	62.9
	<u>ur</u>		124	1 71 11 1 11 1 1 1								1997 1997 1997										副	田田		il:C		-9	ŝ
	-1-1		1.41.4 1.41.41.4 1.41.41.4 1.41.41.41.41.41.41.41.41.41.41.41.41.41	124		(jan. Berr		1111 1111	iti:	197	<u>p=1</u>		:51-	<u> :::F</u> E	117	711	hei	<u></u>				. <u>1</u> 11;	111	-tiş	비금		-9	SEMI
	<u></u>						1.11). 1717				94. 1179	NHI 1924						114	144 144 144		1434 - 274	<u>5115</u> 254	477 1993	99.97 1997			-8	LES X
	<u>अल्</u> तः।		<u>146</u> 111	-7.9 1111								.5-11 1111			1111				4 <u>5 1 1</u>		1.41 115	• 4441 • 111	<u>1441</u>			1111	-6 	RITH! 300 01
																												VISIONS
																												47 581 MOC 18 0.5
	許		詽								莊祥				曲			開				÷		했			6	÷ω
	詽	147	112		钳									誑		聯		曲			ndr 710	Щ.						R
							E.		고다 군구역		注注			24-9					4114 4127	推開			41	1245 		53) 	· ·	;) ;)
	曲								TH:				<u>清朝</u>										部				tv	÷
		H.																	125		141 141						S	
	EŦ	型	詞	1	钜	111			UT.	fith:		: Es		55F2	ŦŦ	EE.	122	itti:		.::1; ::::;	112	jęj.					9	
								titzk višt		甘油						24) 91.17		<u>11</u>				Hu Hu	55.1 743 -				8	8 a
											<u>11</u> 11								7269 1111		i i i i	init.		<u>≁∺≓</u> 1111		rijei Li ti	6	1
																												•
-																				計		世纪		計				
	雦	副								與			21				臣		口口 (内)		144	斑	1 <u>11</u> 1012	田田				\$
-	誹	<u>1</u>		E.H	Hi	100		Π		<u>11</u>		<u> Hr</u> f-			王!	711	541 575	11 11		<u></u>	ing 	ģŗ	Hit	ЦĿ		34		. 3
	1171 1141			1.11) -11)							1.111 1.111 1.111	<u>-47</u> 1001			1.11 . 12 . 12				<u>151</u>		1993 1995					1974 		•
		1.17				117!							lin	911							197	141				当	1	,
			++H +						UH UH	1111 1111			,	1(L)	1714						din din	114 114						
-			-E	-1::	HH				44	111				1.17						1.1	1.11 1.11					7444 1427 1747)
										1:11 1:11						114				2-77		·				127 ⁴ 127		3
				1.4						371 	Mai Mai	14.5							-115		* 1 1 1. Fe 1.2*		illiny.					5



46 1513 MADE IN U 5.4. HAR 10 X 10 TO THE CENTIMETER KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.

feet







46 1513 MADE IN U 5.A. Not 10 X 10 TO THE CENTIMETER KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.



46 1513 MADE IN U. 3. A. HAT 10 X 10 TO THE CENTIMETER KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.

